



National State Auditors Association

Georgia Department of Audits and Accounts
External Peer Review
For the Period July 1, 2010
through June 30, 2011



National State Auditors Association

September 27, 2011

Mr. Russell W. Hinton, CPA, CGFM
State Auditor
Georgia Department of Audits and Accounts
270 Washington Street, S.W., Suite 1-156
Atlanta, Georgia, 30334-8400

Dear Mr. Hinton:

We have reviewed the system of quality control of the Georgia Department of Audits and Accounts (the office) in effect for the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. A system of quality control encompasses the office's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with government auditing standards. The design of the system and compliance with it are the responsibility of the office. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system, and the office's compliance with the system based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with the policies and procedures for external peer reviews established by the National State Auditors Association (NSAA). In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the office's system of quality control for engagements conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. In addition, we tested compliance with the office's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the office's policies and procedures on selected engagements. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the office's engagements conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our review was based on selective tests; therefore it would not necessarily disclose all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of lack of compliance with it. Also, there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control; therefore, noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the system of quality control of the Georgia Department of Audits and Accounts in effect for the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011 has been suitably designed and was complied with during the period to provide reasonable assurance of conforming with government auditing standards.


Jennifer B. Barneau, CPA, CISSP, CISA
Team Leader
National State Auditors Association
External Peer Review Team


Deann Haeffner, CPA
Concurring Reviewer
National State Auditors Association
External Peer Review Team